IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE TWELFTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI DEVINDER GUPTA, THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and
THE HON'BLE Ms. JUSTICE G.ROHINI

WRIT PETITION NO : 10995 of 2004

Between:

Vedula Subramanyam S/o Late Suryanarayana
2-5-8, Peddapuram, E.G.District

..... PETITIONER

AND

1. The Senior Civil Judge, Office of the Senior Civil Judge,
Peddapuram, E.G.District
2. The District Judge, Office of the District Judge
Rajahamundry E.G.District
3. The Secretary Law & Legislature department
AP. Secretariat, Hyderbad

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ, order or orders more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the action of the Respondents herein, in so far as preparing and finalizing the names of the advocates who are practicing before the Peddapuram Courts for the post of Asst. Govt. Pleaders and Asst. Public Prosecutors for the new panel which is forwarded for the on coming new session commencing from 1/11/2004 to 31/10/2004 without considering or giving opportunity of being heard to me as amounting to highly arbitrary, illegal and unconstitutional and consequently to call

for the proceedings pertaining to appointment of AGP and APP for the forth coming new session which is commencing from 1/11/2004 to 31/10/2004 and quash the same and pass such other order or orders.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Mr.GOPALA KRISHNA KALANIDHI

Counsel for the Respondents : SMT.M.BHASKARA LAKSHMI

(SC FOR APHC) FOR R1&2

None present for R-3.

The Court made the following :

ORAL ORDER:

(per The Honourable Sri Devinder Gupta, the Chief Justice)

None for the petitioner.

We have gone through the writ petition. Petitioner is questioning the action of the respondents in preparing and finalizing the names of the Advocates for the posts of Assistant Government Pleaders and Assistant Public Prosecutors. On the grounds made in the petition, no interference is called for in this Writ Petition. The Writ Petition, therefore, is dismissed. No costs.

_____

DEVINDER GUPTA, C.J.

12.7.2004.

_____

G.ROHINI, J.

VR.

To

1. The Senior Civil Judge, Office of the Senior Civil Judge,
Peddapuram, E.G.District
2. The District Judge, Office of the District Judge
Rajahamundry E.G.District
3. The Secretary Law & Legislature Department
AP. Secretariat, Hyderbad.

4. Two CD copies.